



UNITED STATES ARTIMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/998,507	12/26/97	BAUER	Α	1704345	1/2
		QM01/1121		EXAMINER	

WILLIAM J. SAPONE COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE, P.C. 708 THIRD AVENUE 14TH FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10017

FORD, J ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3743 <u> 20</u> DATE MAILED: 11/21/00

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b) A expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed Nov 9, 2000 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: Claims 44-63 are completely different from objected to claims 40 &43 as well as rejected allaims 033-36 and 38. Moreover applicants state that the currents pecification "contains numerous translation exass" and that a substitute specification will have to 2. Newly proposed or amended claims any of the would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Just occurrent the current specification. The subject matter
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will
Claims allowed: before the surrent a mendment
Claims objected to: 40443
Claims rejected: 33-36 and 38.
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other
Inha M Earl

<u>ATTACHMENT TO AND MODIFICATION OF</u> <u>NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY (PTO-37)</u>

(November, 2000)

NO EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE PERMITTED TO FILE CORRECTED OR FORMAL DRAWINGS, OR A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION, notwithstanding any indication to the contrary in the attached Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).

If the following language appears on the attached Notice of Allowability, the portion lined through below is of no force and effect and is to be ignored¹:

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE to comply with the requirements noted below is set to EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE "DATE MAILED" of this Office action. Failure to comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Similar language appearing in any attachments to the Notice of Allowability, such as in an Examiner's Amendment/Comment or in a Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948, is also to be ignored.

¹ The language which is crossed out is contrary to amended 37 CFR 1.85(c) and 1.136. See "Changes to Implement the Patent Business Goals", 65 Fed. Reg. 54603, 54629, 54641, 54670, 54674 (September 8, 2000), 1238 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 77, 99, 110, 135, 139 (September 19, 2000).